

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/117,838	08/12/98	EPHSTEIN		0	
	HM22/1020				EXAMINER
ILYA ZBOROVSKY				OWENS	JR,H
6 SCHOOLHOU	SE WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DIX HILLS NY 11746				1623	5
				DATE MAILED	10/20/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/117,838

Applicant(s)

Ephstein

Examiner

Howard Owens

Group Art Unit 1623



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1	935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is so solves, from the mailing date of this communication. Failus pplication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extends CFR 1.136(a).	et to expire month(s), or thirty days, whichever ure to respond within the period for response will cause the ensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) <u>5-8</u>	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is approved disapproved. er. erity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). es of the priority documents have been Number) the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pap Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Serial No. 09/117,838

Art Unit 1623

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Response to Arguments

The following is in response to the amendment filed 3/22/99: An action on the merits of claims 5-8 is contained herein below.

Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112(1 & 2) and 102(b) have been overcome through applicant's amendment canceling said claims. Given that claims 1-4 are canceled, applicant's arguments regarding said claims are considered moot.

Newly added claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112(2) and 102(b).

Specification

Objection to the abstract is maintained. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. The abstract also appears to contain the misspelled word "homeopathis".

Claim Objections

Claims 5-8 are objected to under 37 CAR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 5 is drawn to a medicinal preparation comprising an active medicinal substance in therapeutic dose and a potentiated homeopathic medicinal substance having a same chemical formula or composition as said active medicinal substance. Claims 6 and 8 are also drawn to a medicinal preparation comprising an active medicinal substance in therapeutic dose and a potentiated

3

Serial No. 09/117,838

Art Unit 1623

5

10

15

20

25

30

homeopathic medicinal substance. Neither claim 6 nor claim 8 set forth any subject matter which limits the composition set forth in claim 5.

<u> Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112</u>

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the absence of a structural formula or chemical name, the following terms are seen to render the claims in which they appear indefinite in all occurrences: medicinal substance and medicinal preparation.

In claims 5-8, applicant recites a medicinal preparation which is produced by homeopathic methods and has initial chemical formula or composition identical with that of the said active substance. It is unclear as to how a compound that has the "identical" chemical formula as the active substance can be differentiated from the active substance and is not the active substance itself as products of identical chemical composition can not have mutually exclusive properties.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

4

Serial No. 09/117,838

Art Unit 1623

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Ecanow, U.S. Patent No. 4,963,367.

Claims 5-8 are drawn to a medicinal preparation comprising an active medicinal substance in therapeutic dose and a potentiated homeopathic medicinal substance having a same chemical formula or composition as said active medicinal substance. The patentability in composition claims resides in the compound, no matter what method of production is set forth. A compound that has the "identical" chemical formula as the active substance can not be differentiated from the active substance. The open claim language also allows for the addition of common or suitable excipients or carriers. As such, applicant has made a claim to any compound of therapeutic nature. Ecanow anticipates these claims as it discloses a medicinal preparation comprising a material carrier and one or more active compounds dispersed in an aqueous solution (see claim 5 and p.1-3).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

25

5

10

15

20

Serial No. 09/117,838

Art Unit 1623

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Serial No. 09/117,838

Art Unit 1623

Ending

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Howard Owens whose telephone number is (703) 306-4538. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Primary Examiner signing this action, James O. Wilson can be reached on $(703)\ 308-4624$. The fax phone number for this Group is $(703)\ 308-4556$.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

15

5

10

Howard Owens

Group 1623

Howard C. Lee

Howard C. Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 1623